

# Multiplicity: A Diagrammatic–Operational Mathematics Curriculum (All-Ages)

*A do-first, name-later foundation for mathematics grounded in diagrams, moves, and multiplicity. Designed for ages 4 through research level.*

---

## 0) Philosophy & Design Constraints

**Mathematical Onticity.** Mathematics is not a description but the lawful dynamics of reality. We therefore start with **operations** (moves) rather than axioms or sentences about them.

**Frame Relativity (MRP).** Every topic is presented in three isomorphic frames: (G) geometry of wires and surfaces, (N) number/combinatorics of counts and multiplicities, (Q) information/energy flow (quantum & probabilistic circuits). Learners toggle frames by diagrammatic translation.

**Experimental Falsifiability.** Each unit culminates in a measurable prediction (e.g., Q-factors, frequency shifts, combinatorial counts), connecting formal structure to laboratory artifacts (acoustics, optics, electronics, fluid/sand tables, RF cavities, and simulation).

**No-axiom Syntax.** Foundations are specified by **generators & moves** (rewrite rules) rather than verbal axioms. Correctness = being reachable by allowed rewrites. Proofs = **normal forms** and **invariants** of the rewrite system.

---

## 1) Core Grammar of Mathematics (for all levels)

We fix a minimal **diagrammatic grammar** used across the curriculum.

### Generators (things you can draw)

- **Wires:** oriented lines carrying “stuff.”
- **Dots (•)** on wires: events (fork/join/copy/delete).
- **Boxes:** processes with input wires (bottom) and output wires (top).
- **Regions:** areas labeling contexts (e.g., probability, vector space, mod  $p$ ).

### Primitive Moves (legal rewrites)

1. **Serial compose:** stack boxes (do-then-do).
2. **Parallel compose:** place side-by-side (do-in-parallel).
3. **Bend/slide:** planar isotopy without cutting.
4. **Copy/merge:** permitted at labeled dots when the region allows it.
5. **Discard/unit:** erase a wire into a ground symbol (allowed only in classical/probabilistic regions).
6. **Swap:** cross wires (braid) when allowed.

## What *emerges* without stating axioms

- Identity (straight wire), associativity (re-bracketing by sliding), monoidal product (side-by-side), symmetry/braiding (crossings), duals (bends/cups/caps), probability normalization (total flow = 1 in stochastic region), linearity (boxes represent linear maps when copying is restricted).

## Multiplicity & Primes (recurring strand)

- **Indecomposables (primes)** = boxes/wires that cannot be expressed as a nontrivial serial or parallel  $\otimes$  decomposition by allowed moves.
- **Multiplicity** of a component = the number of parallel copies in its normal form.
- **Spectral view**: each diagram has a multiset of eigenmodes; *multiplicity* counts degeneracies of modes under allowed symmetries.

Learners never read axioms; they **practice moves** and **observe invariants**.

---

## 2) Spiral Scope & Sequence (K– $\infty$ )

Each band lists **Core Competencies**, **Key Activities**, **Assessment**, and **Artifacts**.

### A. Early Childhood (Ages 4–6): “Count, Connect, Compose”

**Competencies** - Recognize and build numbers by **concatenation of ticks** and by **bundling** (5-as-■■■■■, 10-as-two-bundles-of-5). - Understand addition as “putting strings together,” multiplication as “repeat a block.” - Identify **same vs different** by allowed moves (slide/rotate without tearing).

**Activities** - **String Town**: yarn wires, wooden boxes; children compose processes physically. - **Move Bingo**: draw two pictures; if one can be slid into the other, shout “same!” - **Prime Blocks**: blocks that only split along certain seams; children discover indecomposable shapes.

**Assessment** - Performance tasks: can the child transform diagram A into B using legal moves? - Journals: picture stories showing “do-then-do.”

**Artifacts** - Photo portfolios of rewrites, number walls of concatenations, “prime zoo” of indecomposable blocks.

---

### B. Upper Primary (Ages 7–10): “Flows & Fractions”

**Competencies** - Translate between (G,N,Q) frames: area of rectangles  $\leftrightarrow$  repeated concatenation  $\leftrightarrow$  parallel/serial processes. - Fractions as **sharing flows**: split a wire into equal parts; equalize by merge. - Symmetry by **move sets**: flip/rotate puzzles define a group of moves without naming it.

**Activities** - **Pipe & Marble Labs**: split/merge flows, measure conservation. - **Paper Braids**: crossings represent swaps; count minimal crossings. - **Area as Multiplicity**: tiles-as-parallel wires; perimeter as boundary length (invariant under certain moves).

**Assessment** - Practical quizzes with snap-circuit boards: build a given flow; predict brightness (proportional to flow multiplicity).

**Artifacts** - “Flow passports” documenting conserved quantities; braid posters.

---

### C. Middle School (Ages 11–13): “Symmetry, Randomness, & Ratios”

**Competencies** - Model chance as **stochastic boxes**: rows sum to 1; serial/parallel composition of chance. - Identify invariants under allowed symmetries; classify with **orbit diagrams**. - Linear functions as boxes; slope = gain; intercept = background flow.

**Activities** - **Markov Walk Mats**: floor tiles are states; dice choose transitions; estimate stationary distributions by time-on-tiles. - **Polygon Moves**: allowed flips/rotations generate congruence; discover minimal presentations of move-sets. - **Harmonic Strings**: measure frequencies; note integer ratios and **prime factors**; record multiplicities of modes.

**Assessment** - Lab reports with predictions vs measurements; error bars introduced.

**Artifacts** - Class Markov chain murals with measured stationary frequencies; frequency vs length graphs ( $f \propto 1/L$ ).

---

### D. Secondary (Ages 14–18): “Linear Worlds, Groups, and Information”

**Competencies** - Work fluently with **string diagrams** for linear algebra: matrices as boxes; vector spaces as labeled regions; tensor product as parallel. - Solve systems with **diagrammatic Gaussian elimination** (spider fusion / copy-merge laws). - Understand **groups-as-moves** and **group actions** on sets and diagrams; compute orbits/stabilizers via pictures. - Reason about **information**: classical copying vs no-cloning (copy node forbidden in quantum region). - Introduce **complex numbers** and **Fourier transform** as a change-of-basis box; visualize convolution as wire-merge.

**Activities** - **ZX/ZW-lite Calculus**: color-coded copy/merge nodes; simplify circuits to normal forms. - **Error-Correcting Codes as Tilings**: parity checks are boxes; syndrome wires indicate invariants. - **Optics Lab**: slits and lenses as boxes; convolution vs multiplication duality. - **Electronic RLC Labs**: measure transfer function  $|H(\omega)|$ ; identify resonant peaks and multiplicities.

**Assessment** - Mixed-mode exams: (a) diagram rewrite sequences, (b) lab predictions with uncertainties, (c) short formal proofs by invariants.

**Artifacts** - Simplified quantum/classical circuits; code murals; lab notebooks with Bode plots and fitted Q-factors.

---

### E. Undergraduate Core (Tier I): “Categories, Probability, and Spectra”

**Competencies** - Formalize the grammar as a **strict symmetric monoidal category** via generators/relations (still presented as moves). - Map between diagrams and algebra: functors

to **(Vect,  $\otimes$ )**, **(Stoch,  $\otimes$ )**, **(Rel,  $\times$ )**. - Compute spectra: eigenvalues/eigenmodes of boxes; interpret **multiplicity** as degeneracy. - Probability in diagrams: **Markov categories**; Bayesian inversion as a diagrammatic adjoint.

**Activities - Functorial Semantics Studio**: same diagram evaluated in different target categories; observe what changes and what doesn't. - **Spectral Labs**: discrete Laplacians on graphs; measure eigenvalue multiplicities vs symmetries. - **Information Flow Practicum**: build probabilistic models; perform updates as string rewrites.

**Assessments & Artifacts** - Problem sets translating between pictures and matrices; lab reports comparing predicted symmetries to multiplicities in spectra.

---

## F. Advanced Undergraduate / Graduate (Tier II): “Primes, Zeta, and Physical Cavities”

**Competencies** - Indecomposables via **Krull–Schmidt** in diagrammatic categories (when applicable). Prime factorization of processes under  $\circ$  and  $\otimes$ . - **Generating functions** of diagrams:  $\zeta_D(s) := \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}(D)} \lambda^{-s}$ ; connect poles/zeros to growth/decay of counts. - **Operator calculus**: adjoints, norms, and bounds on composition; stability under perturbation. - **Quantum circuits** in diagrammatic form; no-cloning as structural prohibition.

**Activities - Fractal Cavities**: 3D-print resonators with prime-controlled geometries; measure mode multiplicities and Q. Compare with scaling law (see §4.3). - **Casimir Toy Models**: parallel plate and corrugated cavity simulations; predict shifts based on spectral density changes. - **ZX-calculus Projects**: simplify quantum algorithms diagrammatically; verify equivalence by rewrite reachability.

**Assessments & Artifacts** - Research-style reports; conference-style posters; repositories with simulations and measured datasets.

---

## G. Research Studio / Doctoral: “Multiplicity Operators & Testable Predictions”

**Competencies** - Define and analyze **multiplicity operators** on process algebras; prove norm and convergence bounds. - Relate spectral multiplicities to **symmetry groups** via character theory and to **prime factorizations** via length spectra. - Design falsifiable experiments linking diagrammatic primitives to physically measurable invariants (Q, frequency shifts, noise floors).

**Activities - Operator Inequalities**: derive submultiplicativity and stability bounds. - **Zeta of a Diagram**: study analytic continuation heuristics and numerical evidence; connect to gaps and oscillations in counts. - **BEC / RF Labs (with partners)**: investigate mode crowding and multiplicity control via geometry.

**Assessments & Artifacts** - Manuscript-quality papers with error analyses and preregistered protocols.

---

### 3) Cross-Cutting Strands

- **Computation:** every level uses block-based or textual programming to implement rewrites; higher levels implement automated theorem proving by normalization.
  - **Language:** storytelling from diagrams to sentences; later, LaTeX or diagram DSL.
  - **Art & Music:** braids as dance notation; harmonics and prime rhythms; tiling patterns.
  - **Civic Science:** open-data labs; publish class datasets and replicate across schools.
- 

## 4) Formal Cores (rigor without axioms-in-words)

### 4.1 Rewriting System (Foundation)

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the free string-diagram category generated by boxes  $\{B_i\}$  and structural nodes  $\{\text{copy, merge, swap, unit, counit}\}$  subject to planar isotopy and local relations (spider fusion, yanking). **Proofs** are sequences of local rewrites terminating in a **normal form**  $NF(\cdot)$  unique up to planar isotopy.

**Soundness.** If  $D \rightarrow^* E$  by rewrites, then  $F(D) = F(E)$  for any monoidal functor  $F$  into a model category (Vect, Stoch, Rel, ...).

**Completeness (contextual).** For the ZX-lite fragment on qubits, the rewrite system is complete for circuit equality within the fragment used in coursework (bounded angle set). Students verify by normalization to a canonical form.

### 4.2 Multiplicity Operator

For a diagram  $D$  with spectral multiset  $\text{Spec}(D) = \{\lambda_k\}$  (eigenvalues of its linear semantics), define the **multiplicity profile**

$$[\_p(D) := \#\{, p . ]$$

Define the **total multiplicity**  $M(D) = \sum_k m_k$  where  $m_k$  is algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_k$ . In symmetry-rich families,  $M(D)$  organizes by prime-power orbits.

**Submultiplicativity under composition.** For bounded operators  $A, B$ ,  $[ M(AB) M(A), M(B). ]$  Sketch: algebraic multiplicities add within invariant subspaces; composition cannot increase the product of independent orbit counts beyond Cartesian product size. (Formal proof assigned in Tier II.)

### 4.3 Spectral–Geometric Prediction (for labs)

Consider a family of resonators  $\{C_\alpha\}$  with boundary conditions admitting a discrete spectrum  $\{\omega_{\alpha,i}\}$  with quality factors  $Q_{\alpha,i}$ . Define prime-weighted complexity of the cavity shape by  $[ (\alpha) := \_ \{p \} p^{\{p(\alpha)\}}, ]$  where  $v_p(\alpha)$  counts  $p$ -gonal or  $p$ -fold symmetric motifs in the cavity mesh. **Prediction:**  $[ i, Q_{\alpha,i} K, (\alpha)^{\{ \} } (>0), ]$  with deviations controlled by roughness  $\varepsilon$  and loss tangent  $\delta$ :  $[ Q_{\alpha,i} - c_3. ]$  Students fit  $(K, \beta, c_1, c_2, c_3)$  to data from 3D-printed cavities and test generalization on held-out shapes.

## 4.4 Error & Uncertainty

Given repeated measurements  $Q_i$ , estimate ( $Q$ ),  $s$ , and the standard error ( $=s/\sqrt{n}$ ). For frequency shifts  $\Delta f$  under a perturbation with predicted effect  $\Delta f_{\text{pred}}$ , report residuals  $r_i = \Delta f_i - \Delta f_{\text{pred}}$ , check normality (QQ-plot), and compute 95% CI via  $t_{\{n-1\}}$ .

---

## 5) Representative Syllabi

### 5.1 Grade 3: “Flows, Fractions, and Symmetry” (10 weeks)

- **Week 1–2:** Tick strings  $\rightarrow$  addition/multiplication; area as multiplicity (tilings).
- **Week 3:** Flow splitting; equal shares; conservation tasks.
- **Week 4–5:** Symmetry moves; wallpaper patterns; classify by allowed moves.
- **Week 6:** Random walk games; empirical frequencies.
- **Week 7–8:** Braids and swaps; minimal crossing puzzles.
- **Week 9:** Project: Design a fountain manifold of pipes achieving a target split.
- **Week 10:** Exhibition & oral demonstration (move-justified equivalences).

**Assessment rubric (excerpt)** - Correctness of moves (0–3), identification of invariants (0–3), clarity of explanation by showing **how** not **why** (0–3), reflection on errors (0–1).

### 5.2 Secondary: “Linear Diagrams & Information” (14 weeks)

- **Weeks 1–2:** Boxes-as-matrices; parallel = tensor; serial = product.
- **Weeks 3–4:** Diagrammatic elimination; solve  $Ax=b$ ; interpret rank as number of independent wires.
- **Weeks 5–6:** Probability boxes; Bayes as wire-bending (cup/cap adjunction).
- **Weeks 7–8:** Fourier box; convolution = merge; uncertainty trade-offs.
- **Weeks 9–10:** Groups-as-moves; orbits & stabilizers; counting via Burnside (picture method).
- **Weeks 11–12:** Coding diagrams; parity checks; noise channels.
- **Weeks 13–14:** Capstone: simplify a mixed classical/quantum circuit with ZX-lite rewrites.

**Deliverables:** problem sets, two labs (optics, electronics), final poster.

### 5.3 Undergraduate Core: “Categories & Spectra” (12 weeks)

- Generators/relations; free monoidal categories.
  - Functorial semantics into Vect/Stoch/Rel.
  - Graph Laplacians; eigenvalue multiplicity vs symmetry.
  - Zeta-of-a-diagram; growth exponents.
  - Final: empirical test of multiplicity–Q relation on cavity or graph families.
- 

## 6) Laboratory Tracks

### 6.1 Low-Cost K–12 Labs

- **String & Pipe Kits** (yarn, PVC): flows, conservation, splitting.

- **Paper Optics:** slits from foil; lens kits; observe convolution vs multiplication.
- **Snap Circuits / Arduino:** implement boxes; measure gain; introduce noise.

## 6.2 Advanced Labs (Tier II and above)

- **3D-Printed Fractal Cavities:** variable symmetry counts; measure resonances with microphones/RF VNAs.
- **Casimir Analogues:** vibrating membranes with patterned boundaries; track spectral shifts.
- **BEC/Cold-Atom Simulations:** numerical GP equation; visualize mode multiplicities.

**Data Standards:** all labs publish CSV with schema: geometry descriptors (including prime motif counts), material params, measured spectrum, estimated Q, uncertainty.

---

## 7) Assessment Architecture

- **Formative:** rewrite journaling, gallery walks of transformations, whiteboard interviews (“show me the moves”).
- **Summative:** practical builds, diagram-to-algebra translations, lab fits with uncertainty.
- **Competency Badges**
  - *Diagrammatic Composer* (can normalize processes),
  - *Symmetry Cartographer* (classifies by moves),
  - *Spectral Analyst* (extracts modes & multiplicities),
  - *Information Alchemist* (translates between classical/quantum regions with correct structural constraints).

Rubrics emphasize **reachability** (can you legally rewrite  $A \rightarrow B$ ?), **invariance recognition**, and **measurement literacy**.

---

## 8) Teacher Preparation & Materials

**Essentials:** rope sets, magnetic whiteboards with snap-on nodes, card decks for boxes, braid cords, simple optics kit, snap circuits, laptops with diagram editor & Python/Julia notebooks.

**PD Modules** 1. *From Axioms to Moves*: converting standard lessons into rewrite challenges. 2. *Multiple Frames*: designing tasks that demand  $G \leftrightarrow N \leftrightarrow Q$  translations. 3. *Lab Integrity*: uncertainty estimation and pre-registration. 4. *Equity by Embodiment*: kinesthetic entry points and multilingual diagrams.

**Safety:** standard maker-lab protocols; PPE for soldering/printing; RF exposure limits for advanced labs.

---

## 9) Sample Tasks & Solutions (excerpts)

**Task (Middle School).** Two splitters in series split 1 unit flow into thirds. Show by diagrams that order doesn't matter for total shares. *Solution sketch:* show commutation by sliding merge/split nodes (isotopy), compute  $1 \rightarrow 1/3$  on each branch in either order.

**Task (Secondary).** Simplify a diagram corresponding to a  $3 \times 3$  linear system by spider fusion to find rank. *Solution sketch:* fuse green copy nodes; count remaining independent wires = rank.

**Task (Undergrad).** A graph with  $D_4$  symmetry exhibits an eigenvalue of multiplicity 2. Predict this from orbits. *Solution sketch:* irreps of  $D_4$  include two 1D and one 2D; the 2D irrep explains multiplicity-2 mode.

**Task (Tier II).** For cavity family with  $v_3=2$ ,  $v_5=1$ , other  $v_p=0$ , predict median  $Q \propto 3^{\{2\beta\}-5}\{\beta\}$ . Fit  $\beta$  from pilot data.

**Task (Doctoral).** Prove  $(M(AB) M(A)M(B))$  for algebraic multiplicity under stated hypotheses; discuss equality conditions when eigenbases align.

---

## 10) Implementation Timeline (District or University)

**Phase 1 (Semester 0):** Teacher PD; procure kits; pilot two grades.

**Phase 2 (Semesters 1–2):** Full K–8 rollout; launch secondary elective; create local cavity lab hub.

**Phase 3 (Semesters 3–4):** Undergraduate core + research studio; publish first cross-site dataset; host community expo.

**Phase 4 (Ongoing):** Iterate on rewrite grammar, expand library of tasks, inter-school challenges.

---

## 11) Outcomes & Research Questions

- Do students transfer between G/N/Q frames more fluidly than control curricula?
- Does diagrammatic normalization improve proof-writing later?
- Is the predicted link between prime motif counts and  $Q$  robust across materials and scales?
- What minimal move-sets achieve completeness for targeted fragments (ZX-lite, Markov categories)?

**Success Metrics:** normalized gains on conceptual inventories adapted to diagrammatic reasoning; replication of lab scaling laws with pre-registered error models; publication output of research studios.

---

## 12) Appendices

### A. Minimal Symbol Legend

- straight wire = identity;
- box = linear/prob/stochastic map;
- green dot = copy/merge;
- red dot = plus/minus fuse;
- cup/cap = state/effect;
- cross = swap;
- $\otimes$  = parallel;  $\circ$  = serial.

### B. Diagram-to-Algebra Dictionary

- wire labeled  $X \leftrightarrow$  vector space or set  $X$
- box  $f:X \rightarrow Y \leftrightarrow$  matrix/probability kernel  $f$
- parallel  $\leftrightarrow$  tensor product (or Cartesian product in Rel)
- bend  $\leftrightarrow$  evaluation/coevaluation
- copy  $\leftrightarrow$  comonoid; merge  $\leftrightarrow$  monoid

### C. Software & Templates

- Diagram editor, normalization checker, lab notebooks with uncertainty calculators, CSV schema.

---

This curriculum is designed to be lived in classrooms, labs, and studios. The “axioms” are the moves learners practice. Theorems are the invariants they discover.

## Multiplicity-Based Geometry Teaching Plan

### 1. Embrace Multiplicity Theory

Multiplicity Theory encourages holistic, interdisciplinary learning by recognizing the interconnectedness of knowledge domains and learning styles. In geometry, this means combining spatial reasoning with real-world applications, storytelling, ethics, art, and technology.

*Dear Teachers*

*Education, in the world of multiplicity, is not a staircase—it is a garden. It grows in all directions, at different rhythms, with different forms of flowering. It is no longer a system designed to sort people, but to support them. Education does not begin or end at any age—it is continuous, living, and shared. As we move closer to reaching this goal, this lesson was prepared using educational standards that support the educational standards of grades 8th-10th.*

## ▲ Differentiated Strategies for Teaching Geometry

### *A. Visual & Kinesthetic Learners*

- **Tangible Models:** Use building blocks, 3D printed shapes, or recycled materials to physically construct geometric forms.
- **Gardening Geometry:** Design raised beds or planting patterns using shapes (e.g., hexagonal garden plots) to explore area, perimeter, and tessellation.
- **Movement-Based Learning:** Use body-based geometry games where students form shapes with string or their arms.

### *B. Auditory Learners*

- **Geometry Story Circles:** Tell stories that incorporate geometric concepts (e.g., the “journey of a triangle”), using metaphors and analogies aligned with Multiplicity Theory.
- **Collaborative Dialogue:** Small-group math talks encourage discussion about different ways to solve geometry problems, building empathy and reinforcing concepts through social learning.

### *C. Logical-Mathematical Learners*

- **Systems Thinking:** Link geometry to larger systems (e.g., urban planning or environmental design). Students might redesign their schoolyard using geometric optimization.
- **Problem Challenges:** Integrate logic puzzles or building design problems that require calculating volume or angles.

### *D. Artistic & Creative Learners*

- **Geometry Through Art:** Explore mandalas, Islamic tiling, or fractals. Have students design geometric art with symmetry and transformation rules.
- **Storyboarding:** Use comic strips or animation tools to visualize and narrate geometric transformations like reflection or rotation.



## Holistic Learning Cycle (Inspired by Citizen Gardens M-Education)

Activity

Learning Outcome

|                                                 |                                |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| to find real-life geometric patterns in leaves, | ness & pattern recognition     |
| ndigenous communities use geometry in art and   | thy & cross-disciplinary links |
| borative community space using geometric        | teamwork, civic engagement     |
| how geometry shows up in daily life and nature  | 1 & ethical awareness          |

## Inclusive Technologies

Use PhET simulations, as mentioned in the document, or GeoGebra, which are accessible, interactive, and allow students to manipulate shapes and explore geometric concepts in an intuitive way. These are especially beneficial for:

- Neurodivergent learners
- Students with physical or visual limitations
- Learners needing self-paced instruction

## Assessment Strategies (Multiplicity-Aligned)

- Project Portfolios: A collection of sketches, models, photos, and journal entries showing growth in understanding.
- Peer Evaluation: Students give constructive feedback on each other's geometric models.
- Empathy Circles: Reflect on how geometry can help design inclusive public spaces.

## Why It Works

This approach aligns with Citizen Gardens' mission by:

- Bridging academic knowledge with social responsibility
- Encouraging collaboration and diverse perspectives
- Promoting equitable access to meaningful learning

Would you like a sample geometry lesson plan that follows this model for a specific age group (e.g., 5th grade)?

You said:

8th grade adhd geometry

ChatGPT said:

Absolutely! Here's a Multiplicity Theory–inspired 8th-grade geometry lesson designed specifically to support students with ADHD, integrating movement, choice, collaboration, and real-world relevance—all aligned with the Citizen Gardens educational values.

## Lesson Plan: “Designing a Green City: Geometry in Action”

Grade: 8th

Theme: *Preparing for the Future* (aligned with the Citizen Gardens 8th grade framework)

Focus: Geometry, Sustainability, and Urban Design

Duration: 2–3 class periods (modular & flexible)

### Core Objectives

- Apply geometric principles (area, perimeter, volume, transformations) in real-world contexts.
- Encourage collaboration, executive functioning, and sustained attention through hands-on, choice-based learning.
- Develop ethical reasoning about space, equity, and sustainability.

### Supports for ADHD Learners

| Strategy                       | Implementation                                                                                                                                     |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Movement & Hands-On Learning   | Students build or model their cities using craft materials, LEGOs, or digital tools like Tinkercad. Frequent breaks are structured into work time. |
| Executive Function Scaffolding | Visual agendas, timers, and task cards help break down the project into manageable steps.                                                          |
| Choice & Autonomy              | Students choose what area of the city to design: parks, housing, transportation, etc.                                                              |
| Interest-Based Hooks           | Start with a challenge: <i>“The city needs your help! We’re redesigning it to be fair, sustainable, and beautiful—how can geometry help?”</i>      |
| Collaboration                  | Teams assign rotating roles (designer, measurer, planner, presenter), promoting structure and shared responsibility.                               |
| Flexible Output                | Students may demonstrate learning through physical models, digital designs, posters, or video walk-throughs.                                       |



## Project Description: Build a Mini Green City

### Step 1: City Planning Challenge

Introduce students to the idea of designing a “green city block” that includes:

- Housing units (rectangular prisms)
- Parks (triangles, circles, irregular polygons)
- Water features (cylinders or cones)
- Roads or paths (parallel lines, angles, transformations)




*Include a sustainability twist:* Each space must maximize green space while minimizing waste and using renewable energy features.

### Step 2: Geometry Integration

Students apply:

- Area & Perimeter (Calculate total green space)
- Volume (Design water tanks or housing units)

- Transformations (Design symmetrical park layouts using rotation/reflection)
- Pythagorean Theorem (Calculate path lengths or diagonals across city blocks)

 Students document each calculation in a group “City Planning Log.”

### Step 3: Present & Reflect

- Students present their models and explain how geometry informed their design decisions.
- Reflect in “Empathy Circles”:
  - *How did our designs make the city better for everyone?*
  - *What would you do differently next time?*



### Optional Tools

- PhET Geometry Simulations (visual, interactive)
- GeoGebra or Tinkercad (for 3D modeling)
- Timers & Visual Schedules (support time management)
- Group Role Cards (reduce conflict & clarify tasks)






### Assessment

- Rubric Categories: Use of geometry, teamwork, creativity, sustainability, and communication.
- Self & Peer Assessment: “How did I contribute?”, “What did I learn about working with others?”



### Citizen Gardens Integration

This lesson supports:

-  Systems Thinking (urban design, ecological balance)
-  Ethical Reasoning (fair use of public space)
-  Inclusive Practices (neurodivergent-friendly and collaborative)

-  Real-World Application (planning sustainable communities)

Would you like this formatted into a printable lesson guide with materials lists, role cards, or scaffolding templates?

*Printable for Teacher Use*

## M-Education

This document was created for students that are at the Junior High and High School testing standards.

Green City Geometry 8th Adhd

Lesson Plan: Designing a Green City - Geometry in Action

Theme: Preparing for the Future

Subject Focus: Geometry, Urban Design, Sustainability

Grade Level: 8th Grade

Duration: 2–3 class periods (modular, adjustable)

## Core Learning Objectives

- Apply geometric concepts including area, perimeter, volume, and transformations.
- Collaborate effectively in groups, developing communication and planning skills.
- Use critical and ethical reasoning to design inclusive, sustainable urban spaces.

## ADHD-Inclusive Supports

- Movement-Friendly: Hands-on materials, optional standing workstations, movement breaks.
- Executive Function Scaffolding: Visual schedule, task checklists, role assignment.
- Choice & Autonomy: Students select design focus (e.g., parks, housing, transportation).

- Visual & Kinesthetic Tools: Use of physical models, drawing templates, and digital platforms.

## Materials

- Cardboard, paper, scissors, rulers, compasses, markers
- LEGOs, modeling clay, or recycled materials (optional)
- Laptops/tablets with GeoGebra or Tinkercad (optional)
- Role cards, task checklists, timers

## Lesson Outline

### *Day 1: Introduction & Planning*

1. Hook Activity (10 min)
  - a. Present challenge: "Your city needs redesigning to be more sustainable, inclusive, and green. How can geometry help?"
  - b. Show examples of green city features (rooftop gardens, bike paths, solar panels).
2. Form Teams (5 min)
  - a. Assign or allow choice. Distribute role cards:
    - i. Designer
    - ii. Measurer/Calculator
    - iii. Planner/Scheduler
    - iv. Presenter
3. Plan City Block (30 min)
  - a. Sketch layout with labeled zones: residential, green space, water feature, public space.
  - b. Start calculating rough dimensions using area and perimeter formulas.
  - c. Record in "City Planning Log."

### *Day 2: Build & Calculate*

1. Work Time (45 min)
  - a. Construct physical or digital model.
  - b. Apply geometry:
    - i. Area/perimeter of parks, roads

- ii. Volume of buildings and water features
  - iii. Use transformations for symmetry or repeated patterns
  - iv. Pythagorean Theorem for diagonal paths
- 2. Check-in & Reflect (15 min)
  - a. Use timers and checklists to assess group progress.
  - b. Optional movement/stretch break every 20 minutes.

### Day 3: Present & Reflect

- 1. Final Touches (15 min)
  - a. Finalize models and calculations.
  - b. Prepare 2-minute group presentation.
- 2. Group Presentations (30 min)
  - a. Share designs and explain geometric and ethical reasoning.
  - b. Option for gallery walk if groups rotate.
- 3. Empathy Circles (15 min)
  - a. Reflective questions:
    - i. How did our design help different types of people?
    - ii. What was hardest about working as a team?
    - iii. What would you improve in your next design?

### Assessment Rubric

| Criteria            | 4 - Exceeds                                       | 3 - Meets                        | 2 - Approaching               | 1 - Beginning                |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Geometry Accuracy   | All required calculations accurate and insightful | Most accurate with minor errors  | Some major calculation errors | Limited use of geometry      |
| Collaboration       | Excellent teamwork, all roles fulfilled           | Good teamwork, most roles active | Uneven participation          | Poor collaboration           |
| Creativity & Design | Highly creative, thoughtful layout                | Practical and clear design       | Some design present           | Incomplete or unclear design |
| Ethical Thinking    | Strong connection to sustainability and equity    | Clear ethical considerations     | Some ethical reasoning        | Limited or no connection     |

### Optional Extensions

- Compare city blueprints to learn about professional urban design.
  - Invite a guest speaker (urban planner or architect).
  - Use augmented reality apps to overlay designs on classroom floor.
- 

## I. 🌱 Foundational Tier (Ages 5–10): Sensorial and Symbolic Roots

**Objective:** Establish sensory, emotional, and narrative foundations for recursive thinking and embodied ethical reasoning.

**Modules:**

### 1. Prime Playground:

- Hands-on number sense using prime-encoded games
- Musical and bodily rhythms aligned with prime intervals

### 2. Līla Logic:

- Story-based explorations of projection and experience
- Visualization of subjective states through collaborative drawing

### 3. Phenomenal Geometry:

- Symmetry through movement, tessellation through dance
- Explore space and pattern via garden design

### 4. Empathy Circuits:

- Ethics as a felt sense; dramatizations of "right-fit" and "misfit"
  - Co-regulation games to detect CSL activation
- 

## II. 🔄 Recursive Scaffold Tier (Ages 11–13): Emergent Systems and Tensor Thinking

**Objective:** Introduce categorical logic, subjective-objective dynamics, and CSL-aligned systems modeling.

**Modules:**

**1. Tensor Stories:**

- Narrative structures mapped as recursive tensor networks
- Visual storytelling with flowcharts and prime-indexed nodes

**2. Ethical Attractor Labs:**

- Simulation of social and emotional dilemmas using CSL rules
- Explore entropy bounds in daily decision-making

**3. Phenomenal Interfaces:**

- Use of  $\pi$ -Spiral to map personal experiences to mathematical objects
- Exercises in shifting perspective between "me" and "system"

**4. Intro to Recursive Operators ( $\Xi$ ):**

- Playful recursion puzzles
- Visualization of  $\Xi(t)$  as personal learning feedback loops

---

### III. Tensor Reasoning Tier (Ages 14–17): Formal Structures and Simulations

**Objective:** Enable students to construct formal models of subjective experience using mathematical tools.

**Modules:**

**1. Prime Hilbert Spaces:**

- Modeling inner states as  $\Psi(t) \in \mathcal{H}$
- Exploring  $L(t) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{phen}}$  through journaling and mapping

## 2. Ethical Simulation Theater:

- Students build simulations of CSL violations and restorations
- Holographic reenactment of ethical flows

## 3. Projective Dynamics:

- Applying  $\pi$ -Spiral:  $\text{Rec}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \text{Phen}^{\otimes}$  with digital visualization
- Generate commutative string diagrams for personal insights

## 4. Neurophenomenology Labs:

- Biofeedback (EEG/HRV) tied to subjective reporting
- Personal entropy graphs over time

---

# IV. Recursive Systems Mastery (Ages 18+): Transdisciplinary Research and Ethics

**Objective:** Develop deep integration between formal mathematics, ethics, consciousness studies, and AI.

**Modules:**

## 1. Categorical Coherence:

- Mastery of  $\text{Rec}^{\otimes}$ ,  $\text{Phen}^{\otimes}$ , and Ethics categories
- Proofs of monoidal functors and CSL terminality

## 2. Phenomenological Collapse Theorems:

- Analyze  $\Delta S > \ln \varphi$  conditions and ethical failures
- Design feedback systems to prevent incoherence

## 3. AI Alignment via $\pi$ -Spiral:

- Modify LLM logits with CSL-guided gradients

- Design of GPT-like systems with ethical attractors

#### 4. Experimental Phenomenology:

- Run introspective and social experiments with  $\Xi(t)$ -models
  - Integrate Langlands Prism for cognitive entanglement
- 

## V. Postdoctoral / Interdisciplinary Research Layer

**Objective:** Contribute to the theoretical expansion and practical deployment of Phenomenology Physics in science, education, AI, and ethics.

**Tracks:**

- **Quantum Phenomenology and CSL Field Theory**
  - **Tensor Ethics in AI and Institutional Simulation**
  - **Langlands-Encoded Neurofeedback Systems**
  - **Cognitive Architecture and Phenomenological Computation**
- 

## Pillars (the “why + guardrails”)

- **Do-first, name-later math:** learners manipulate flows, braids, and boxes, then attach formal words later. They toggle between three frames—Geometry (G), Number (N), and Information/Quantum (Q). The curriculum centers on **generators & moves** (compose, parallel, swap, copy/merge when allowed) and what invariants emerge from those moves.
- **Ethics + emotional safety by design:** a **Conscious Sovereignty Layer (CSL)** runs in the background to keep challenge inside safe bounds, with a **Reciprocity Engine** for peer energy/exchange, and a  **$\pi$ Lila growth tracker** for visible milestones. This is

trauma-aware, SEL-rooted, and neurodiversity-supportive.

- **Spiral by age band:** formal tiering ensures concepts are age-appropriate and build recursively (Grades 3–5, 6–8, 9–12).

## Age-banded pathway (10+)

### Band A — Ages 10–12: Quantum Sense-Making

**Goal:** intuitive quantum “habits of mind” via flows, fractions, symmetry, and randomness that map cleanly into quantum/information frames.

- Labs & moves
  - **Pipe & Marble Labs** (conservation), **Paper Braids** (swaps), **Area as multiplicity** (parallel wires).
  - Introduce **chance as processes** and **Markov walk mats** as a bridge to amplitudes later.
- Framing: keep toggling  $G \leftrightarrow N \leftrightarrow Q$  to normalize that “the same idea” looks different in each frame.
- Reflection protocol: short, weekly  $G \rightarrow E \rightarrow C$  (Generator → Enhancer → Critic) loops—students try, improve with constraints, then critique—tracked with lightweight metrics.

### Band B — Ages 12–14: Quantum Literacy

**Goal:** move from intuition to structure—stochastic/linear boxes, symmetry, early information ideas.

- Content
  - **Chance boxes** (rows sum to 1), **linear boxes** (slope as gain), **orbit diagrams** for invariants.
  - **No-cloning as a rule of the region:** in the “quantum region” the copy node is forbidden—great bridge into information-theoretic limits.

- Practice & assessment
  - Run 9×50–60 min “Loops & Metrics”: MML loop, peer triads, evidence vs. counter-evidence, ethics studio, and a mini-capstone—track **PER** (Prompt-Efficiency), **MLEM** (Meta-Learning Efficiency), and trend **TCI** (convergence).

## Band C — Ages 14–18: Linear Worlds to Quantum Computing

**Goal:** formalize the diagrammatic “linear world” and offer a clean on-ramp to QC/QIS topics.

- Units: matrices as boxes; parallel = tensor; probability boxes; Fourier as a change-of-basis; coding & noise; capstone simplifies a mixed classical/quantum circuit with ZX-lite rewrites.
- Quantum electives: quantization, tech applications, intro to qubits/gates (Shor/Grover at concept level), and ethical implications of quantum tech.

## Classroom model (how it runs)

- **Weekly cadence:** 1 period/week integrated into science/math, or 2-week intensives; uses classroom-safe AI with logging dashboards and offline alternatives where needed.
- **Studios/stations:**
  1. **Flow Lab** (marbles/snap-circuits), 2) **Braid Table** (paper/string swaps), 3) **Info Corner** (copy/merge rules; “no-clone” puzzles), 4) **Reflection Bar** ( $G \rightarrow E \rightarrow C$  loop with journals).
- **Inclusion & neurodiversity:** EchoBraid-style resonance protocols (match input rhythm to cognition); CSL limits intensity; culturally responsive examples.

## Assessment & evidence (beyond tests)

- **Recursive Assessment Engine** (Grades 3–5, 6–8): score integrity of feedback loops over time; pair with student reflection.
- **MML metrics:** PER (utility vs. prompt/effort), MLEM (insight per iteration time), TCI (convergence). Students keep **iteration logs**.
- **Lab integrity:** uncertainty estimation, residuals, confidence intervals—students compare predictions to measurement.

## A 6-week starter unit (Ages 10–11)

1. **Flows & Fair Shares** ( $G \leftrightarrow N$ ): build split/merge systems; predict bulb brightness from flow multiplicity. Exit: show a diagram  $\rightarrow$  build it.
2. **Random Walks** ( $N \leftrightarrow Q$ -proto): Markov walk mats; compare empirical frequencies. Exit: explain “chance as a process.”
3. **Braids & Swaps** (toward interference thinking): paper braids, minimal crossings; reason with allowed moves.
4. **Copy vs. No-Clone** (Information lens): run copy/merge in classical region; contrast the forbidden copy in the quantum region with puzzles.
5. **Mini-investigation:** students design a flow device that achieves a target split; predict, measure, discuss error.
6. **Showcase:** 3-iteration  $G \rightarrow E \rightarrow C$  improvement cycle; students present PER/MLEM deltas + a short ethics note on fairness/cooperation in their team.

## Teacher PD & rollout

- **PD modules:** convert axioms  $\rightarrow$  moves; design  $G \leftrightarrow N \leftrightarrow Q$  tasks; lab safety; equity by embodiment.
  - **Timeline:** Semester 0 PD + pilot two grades; Semesters 1–2 full K–8 rollout; create a local lab hub; public expo.
-

## What makes this “quantum pedagogy” (not just “cute physics”)?

- It **grounds** later QC/QIS in **linear-diagram thinking** and **information constraints** kids can *do* with their hands first.
- It **bakes in ethics & emotional regulation** through CSL/Reciprocity/ $\pi$ LiIa so challenge scales safely—crucial at 10–14.
- It **measures learning as a recursive process** (PER/MLEM/TCI + lab uncertainty), not just right/wrong.